

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/345,092	Applicant(s) WALKER ET AL.	
	Examiner Tri V. Nguyen	Art Unit 1751	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Tri V. Nguyen.

(3) _____.

 (2) Carson Fincham.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 September 2006
Time: 5PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103(a)

Claims discussed:

3, 6-9, 17, 19, 25, 26, 28, 48, 51, 52, 57

Prior art documents discussed:

Cameron et al. and Von Kohom (US 5,368,129)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An authorization for an examiner's amendment was granted by the Applicant's Representative to cancel claims 3, 6-9, 17, 19, 25, 26, 28, 48, 51, 52, 57. Details of the amendment are attached herewith.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)